

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,649	07/02/2003	Joo Sun Yoon	21C-0058	5474	
7590 10/25/2006			EXAMINER		
CANTOR COLBURN LLP			DUONG,	DUONG, THOI V	
55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Biodifficia, C1 00002			2871	2871	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

→		(A			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/612,649	YOON ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
`.	Thoi V. Duong	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		occo ciamo.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •	empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 14-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-13 and 19-23</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to determine the date of the dat	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome all rejections under appe	date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be all and/or appellant fails to provide a			

3. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9 showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` , ` ,
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry	is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in co	andition for allqwance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:))

DUNG T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

∠Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/612,649

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed limitations "the second boundary is an exposed edge of the second region and orientation groove rubbed in a direction from the first boundary toward the second boundary" recited in claims 1 and 14 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.